

Q Now?

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. HISS: What is the date of the letter?

A July 29, 1946.

Q Now, Mr. Hiss, did this letter refer to the second

visit of July 27?

A Yes, sir.

Q By Mr. Hiss: You were also aware, upon your visit of

July 27 and your subsequent visit of August 3, that the letter

which Mr. Bender refers to stated, "All utility tunnels extend

from the easterly portion of the building to the westerly and

southerly portions of the building, are you not?"

Q Now, I assume that the statement made to Mr. Bender, that is

correct.

Q That is, the statement made by Mr. Wiener in his letter?

A Yes, correct.

Q And notwithstanding the fact that you were aware of that

statement of connections running from the easterly portion of

the building to the westerly portion of the building, upon

neither of the two subsequent investigations did you make any

inquiry as to say, "Where are the tunnels?"

A No. I might answer you again --

Q (Interposing) Just a moment. Answer yes or no.

Q Did you make any inquiry?

A No, it wasn't necessary.

Q That is O.K. Were you aware at the time that this letter

Q Now, concerning your affidavit and deposition, you were having the
letter of April 22 sent to Mr. [redacted], were you not?

A Yes, when he was stated in the letter there.

Q Mr. Butzer, to expedite, save in the interest of time
to save attempt here a stipulation.

A All you stipulate that I excuse me for not until I confer
with the respondent.

THE COMMISSIONER: We will take a ten minute recess at
this time.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

THE COMMISSIONER: You may proceed.

(By Mr. Butzer) Mr. Redlock, you specified that upon your
third investigation on the 5th of August that you observed some
new construction which apparently was not contemplated by any
of the plans. You said it was a building from 20 to 25 feet
long and some 20 to 30 feet wide, and that the walls were up.
Do you know what that building was?

A No, I do not because I didn't go back to it. I observed it
nowhere on the plot plan. It appeared to me removed from either

Q. Did you say anything about the building?

A. Yes.

Q. What did you say about the building?

A. I don't know.

Q. So, because you were there it was impossible to tell?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, I will show you a plan designated as

Hotel Alhambra, Las Vegas, Nevada, bearing date of 1/12/46,

and ask you to examine it.

(Examining plan) A. I have never seen this particular plan

before.

Q. Will you tell me how, in observing that plan, it differs

from the plot plan which has been introduced in evidence as

Exhibit 1?

A. Yes. The construction of the stores and shops are

different.

Q. Different by virtue of being a division, which you previously

testified to measured on the scale approximately 12 feet, is

that correct?

A. That is correct as far as the space between Building "A"

and Building "C" and "C-1" is concerned. The space between the

shop up there and Building "B" as we have designated it --

(Interposing) is different also?

A. Yes. However, there appears to be something or other in

there, a space of some type. However, the plan is different.

Q Now, did you see any other openings in the wall?

A No, sir.

Q Now, did you see any other openings in the wall, January 12, 1968?

A No, sir.

Q Now, did you see any other openings in the wall, January 12, 1968?

A No, sir. I don't know if there were any other openings in the wall with the Building Inspector.

Q Now, did you see any other openings in the wall, January 12, 1968?

A No, sir. I don't know if there were any other openings in the wall.

Q Now, referring now to Exhibit 1, you testified that these openings on this exhibit, which differ from the plot plan that I

just showed you, dated January 12, were examined by you, or

measured by someone with the De Witt Construction Company, and

showed openings of 12 feet. Is that correct?

A Approximately, yes.

Q Now, you were informed at the time, were you not, that those openings were made there to permit the employees who were housed

in this area (indicating) to pass through to get to work without having to go all the way around. Were you not?

A No, that is not correct.

Q Did you observe the workmen going to and from their work to this building where they were housed, did you ever observe them?

A I observed they had this whole space (indicating) to go through.

1. I was never informed that the Architect felt that
2. the opening in that particular plot plan to permit ingress and
3. egress was not particularly important. I was never asked
4. to make any statement on that subject. I was never
5. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
6. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
7. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
8. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
9. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
10. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
11. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
12. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
13. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
14. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
15. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
16. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
17. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
18. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
19. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
20. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
21. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
22. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
23. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
24. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
25. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never
26. asked to make any statement on that subject. I was never

1 on the other hand, it is not the correct one.

2 is it not the case that the letter is dated 1932?

3 No, it is dated 1931.

4 The letter is dated 1931, is it not?

5 Yes.

6 Is it possible the letter being referred to is not the

7 same?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 (By Mr. Bautzer) Did you see the original letter of April

10 1932, which was sent to Mr. Baughman by Mr. Baughman?

11 I am not sure it was an original copy. I think I can tell

12 by looking in my file if you wish me to.

13 THE COMMISSIONER: Off the record.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Off the record.

15 (Remarks off the record.)

16 THE COMMISSIONER: On the record.

17 (Searching file) I do not believe this is the first by a

18 written sheet. I am of the opinion that possibly Mr. Baughman

19 may have submitted an original and a duplicate. I do not know.

20 THE WITNESS: That is an exact carbon copy. That is my

21 signature.

22 (By Mr. Bautzer) Then I take it your testimony is that you

23 never saw the original letter?

24 I would rather answer by saying this (indicating) is the

25 only one I ever saw.

Q Now, did you see any plans on April 25, 1945?

A Yes.

Q What plans did you see on April 25, 1945?

A I saw a plan for the construction of a bomb, which was submitted to me by Mr. Stadelman.

Q Did you see any other plans?

A No, I did not.

Q Did you see any further examination of this plan?

A No, I did not. Any other questions?

Q Yes, just a moment.

Q (By Mr. Stender) Mr. Stadelman, have you made any investigation to determine whether either Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 4 are

copies of the plan submitted to Mr. Bender by myself on April

29?

A No, I have not, other than that Mr. Stadelman stated that

these were the only plans in existence, not plans.

Q He made that statement?

A Yes.

Q Are you certain he made that statement?

A That is correct.

Q Did that visit?

A Yes, when we were there on July 3. He asked Mr. Stadelman for a

copy, or examination of all of the plans that had ever been

devised, or had ever been drawn, representing this project.

1 Q Now, did you see any plans for the building, is that right?

2 A From the time I was in the building, yes, and I said, "We have

3 plans."

4 He said, "These are the plans. You have the whole story
5 here."

6 Q By Mr. Bender) Is that right, these were the only plans?

7 A I wouldn't say "the only plans," I would say "the only plans."

8 Q What is your best recollection?

9 A My best recollection is that he said, "You have the

10 whole story right here. That is what we are building." That

11 was the substance of it.

12 Q But you testified he said, "These are the only plans."

13 A I think we were talking about the only plans, perhaps the

14 plot is that reflected in the date. But he didn't say, "These are the

15 only plans I have on the building."

16 Q There was no reference to these; that is, what I am referring

17 to.

18 Q (By Mr. Bender) Now, did you see this particular plot

19 plan (indicating) when you were in Mr. Stadelman's office on

20 July 3?

21 A No. I have never seen this plan before.

22 Q You have never seen this before?

23 A No. This is the first time I have ever seen this plan

24 showing the portion marked "Tunnel" showing these stores con-

25 nected as they are here.

26 Q Then, Mr. Bender did not forward down to this office his

20